## Modal analysis and experimental validation



## **Context and objective**

- The purpose of the following experiments is to compare the measured frequency of the slow periodic modes to those calculated with AVL or XFLR5:
  - phugoid
  - Dutch roll

## First experiment : F3J type sailplane, January 2011



## The fuselage has been specifically designed for a large tail volume

## Sailplane data

Name : Puiol	PAMEPUMA, design and make Marc
> Span :	3.150 m
> Wing area :	0.605 m <sup>2</sup>
Mean Aerodynamic Ch	ord: 202 mm
> Airfoils	
Wing :	HN 1036
Elevator:	HT 14 at root, HT12 at tip
• Fin:	HT 14 at root, HT12 at tip
Mass :	2.1 kg
CG position :	90 mm from wing leading edge
Inertia tensor, estimat	ted using XFLR5 calculation form
Ixx = 0,565 kg.m <sup>2</sup>	
Iyy = 0,161 kg.m <sup>2</sup>	
Izz = 0,723 kg.m <sup>2</sup>	
Ixy = Ixz = Iyz = 0,	0 kg.m²

## Calculations

- All the calculations have been performed <u>prior</u> to the experiment
- Results with AVL and XFLR5 are close
- The files for the calculations can be downloaded from http://www.xflr5.com/docs/PM\_Analysis.zip

### **AVL model**

Vortex Lattice Output -- Total Forces

Sref = 0.60508	Cref = 0.20200	Bref = 3.1500
Xref = 0.90048E-01	Yref = 0.19467E-07	Zref = 0.87358E-02

#### Run case: ZeroPitchingMoment

	Alpha	=	-1.00454	pb/2V	=	0.00000	p'b/2V =	0.0000	0
	Betā -		0. 00000	qc/2V	=	0.00000			
	Mach	=	0.000	rb/2V	=	0.00000	r'b/2V =	0.0000	0
	CXtot	=	-0.00770	Cltot	2	0.00000	Cl'tot =	0.0000	0
	CYtot	=	0.00000	Cmtot	=	0.00000			
	CZtot	=	-0.32413	Cntet-	=	_0_0000 <sup>&lt;</sup>	Cn'tot =	0.0000	0
Ś	CLtot	=	0.32421	_					
	CDtot		0.00202						
	CDvis	=	0.00000	CDind	-	0.00202			-
	CLff	=	0.32398	CDff	=	0.00147	Trefftz		
	CYff	=	0.00000	е	=	1.3893	Plane		

Stability-axis derivatives...

	alpha	beta	
z' force CL   y force CY   x' mom. Cl'  y mom. Cm   z' mom. Cn'	CLa = 5.888990 CYa = 0.000001 Cla = 0.000000 Cma = -1.229251 Cna = 0.000000	CLb = 0.000001 CYb = -0.243049 Clb = -0.093149 Cmb = -0.000003 Cnb = 0.079325	
	roll rate p'	pitch rate q'	yaw rate r'
z' force CL   y force CY   x' mom. Cl'  y mom. Cm   z' mom. Cn'	CLp = 0.000000 CYp = -0.112617 Clp = -0.640904 Cmp = 0.000001	CLq = 9.351773 $CYq = 0.000001$ $Clq = -0.000002$ $Cmq = -23.403563$ $Cnq = -0.000000$	CLr = 0.000000 CYr = 0.197400 Clr = 0.095053 Cmr = 0.000002



#### Pitched balanced conditions are achieved for $\alpha = -1.0^{\circ}$

Calculated balanced  $C_{L} = 0.324$  $V_{Inf} = \sqrt{\frac{2 mg}{\rho SC_I}} = 13.1 m/s$ 

## **AVL Modal results**



#### # MEPA5 longlight 2

Ħ					
#	Run cas	se Eigenvalue		Mode	Period (s)
	1	-24.460022	0.0000000	Roll Damping	
	1	-1.4002885	4.7057629	Dutch Roll	1.34
	1	-1.4002885	-4.7057629	Dutch Roll	1.34
	1	-13.334693	8.6277199	Short period	0.73
	1	-13.334693	-8.6277199	Short period	0.73
	1	0.30454164E-01	0.0000000	Spiral	
	1	-0.41586193E-02	0.62859261	Phugoid	10.00
	1	-0.41586193E-02	-0.62859261	Phugoid	10.00



#### **Performance data**



Clp =

Clr =

Cnb =

Cnp =

Cnr =

-0.638573

0.085139

0.078925

-0.042402

-0.063063

To save computations, XFLR5 determines the a.o.a. such that the induced pitching moment from pressure forces = ICm = 0

Cm, which includes in addition the moment from the viscous drag is not quite zero. The difference is in the second order of magnitude

## **Trimmed conditions**



The balanced a.o.a., speed, and glide ratio are very sensitive to the slope of the curve  $ICm=f(\alpha)$ , and therefore to CoG position



### The experiment : January 9<sup>th</sup>, 2011 @ Le Coudray-Montceaux



#### Marc (left) and André (right) checking the measurement system

## The measurement system

Xerivision system from http://www.xerivision.com/ "Measurement, recording, telemetry, HUD for RC modelers"

#### > Available data

- Accelerometers in X, Y, Z directions
- Speed sensor with Pitot tube
- Altimeter
- GPS
- Sideslip using a "flap" device

### Sampling : 5 Hz

## The flight : January 9<sup>th</sup>, 2011 @ Le Coudray-Montceaux



## Average speed and phugoid frequency measurement



#### Average speed is ~13 m/s

#### Phugoid period is ~11 s

## **Dutch roll frequency measurement**



#### **Dutch roll period** ~ 1.13 s

## Comparison

	Measurement	AVL	XFLR5
Trimmed speed (m/s)	13	13.1	13.9
Phugoid period (s)	11	10	10.9
Dutch Roll period (s)	1.1	1.34	1.25

## Conclusion

- All results are close and consistent
- The differences are well within the error margin of both the measurement and the calculation



# More measurements to come this year